

TRI-CITIES AREA METROPOLITAN PLANNING ORGANIZATION
POLICY COMMITTEE
Meeting Agenda

Petersburg Multi-Modal Station
100 West Washington Street
Petersburg, Virginia
April 13, 2023
4:30 PM

Meeting Type: ☐ Annual
X Regular
☐ Special (Called)

Microsoft Teams Link:

Microsoft Teams meeting
Join on your computer, mobile app or room device
[Click here to join the meeting](#)

Meeting ID: 269 409 523 508
Passcode: Qhz7g6
[Download Teams](#) | [Join on the web](#)

[Learn More](#) | [Meeting options](#)

Voting and Non-Voting Member Invitees:

Chesterfield County	Kevin Carroll
City of Colonial Heights	John Wood
Dinwiddie County	William Chavis
City of Hopewell	John Partin, Chair
City of Petersburg	Samuel Parham, Vice Chair
Prince George County	T.J. Webb
For the Secretary of Transportation	Dale Totten, P.E.
Petersburg Area Transit	Charles Koonce, Jr.
Crater Planning District Commission	Jay Ellington
FHWA	Steven Minor (non-voting)
FTA	Chelsea Beytas (non-voting)

Staff & Guests Invited:

Crater Planning District Commission	Ron Svejksky, MPO Secretary
VDOT	Mark Riblett

1. Call to Order/Certification of Quorum
2. Welcome
3. Chair's Report
4. Public Comment Period

ADMINISTRATIVE ITEMS:

5. Approval of Agenda **Approval**
6. Approval of minutes from March 9 meeting – *Attachment 1* **Approval**

ACTION ITEMS:

7. MPO Self-Certification – *Attachment 2* **Approval for Signature**

As part of each MTIP Update process, MPOs are required to self-certify. **Attachment 2** includes the Self-certification questionnaire (patterned after HRTPO's process) and the Draft resolution for MPO Director, VDOT Richmond District Engineer, and DRPT Director of Transit signatures.

VDOT, DRPT, MPO staff, and TAC recommend Policy Committee approval.

Action requested: Policy Committee approve the TCAMPO Self-certification for signature by the MPO Director.

8. MTIP Amendments for Maintenance Groupings – *Att 3* **Approval by Resolution**

Since the funding amounts for three MTIP/STIP groupings has increased, VDOT has requested TCAMPO approval for MTIP Amendments for these three MTIP/STIP groupings (MPO staff supports these MTIP Amendments):

- Maintenance : Preventive Maintenance for Bridges
- Maintenance : Preventive Maintenance and System Preservation
- Maintenance : Traffic and Safety Operations

VDOT, MPO staff, and TAC recommend Policy Committee approval.

Action requested: Policy Committee approve these MTIP Amendments by resolution.

9. CMAQ, CRP, and STP Funds Transfers -Attachment 4 Approval by Resolution

Attachment 4 includes the transfer sheets and the CMAQ/CRP Allocations Table and RSTP Allocations Table showing the three proposed transfers.

Carbon Reduction Program Funds Transfer:

VDOT recently released the Carbon Reduction Grant funding table by TMA MPOs for FY22-29 (**Attachment 4**). The link to the Guidance is [here](#). For FY22-29, TCAMPO will have a total of approximately \$2.5 million available to fund projects and programs. As noted in earlier meetings, these funds are to be selected by the MPO for projects and programs that reduce Greenhouse Gases (which makes it similar to CMAQ but has a broader eligibility of projects).

VDOT and MPO staff recommend that early CRP funds be used for immediate funding needs (ex: to award a CRP-eligible project). TAP-funded projects are eligible for CRP funds.

Bids were recently opened for the TAP-funded UPC 106188 (North Elementary Sidewalks Phase II). It appears the City of Colonial Heights is short of funds and needs \$300,106 to fully fund it for award, and the City of Colonial Heights has requested this transfer. Unallocated FY22 CRP funds are available to make up this shortfall.

Appropriations Act - CMAQ Funds Transfer:

As discussed at the March 3, 2023 TAC meeting, VDOT informed us that there is \$252,892 of Appropriations Act residual CMAQ funds that need to be transferred to another CMAQ-funded project; and if these are not transferred to a project by May 1, we would lose these funds. This also would require a \$39,313 locality match.

The City of Colonial Heights has identified a project that they expect to need these funds (UPC 100501, Rt 1/Westover Intersection) and will provide the above match. The bids were opened on Thursday, April 6; and it is believed all, or part of these funds are needed.

Appropriations Act – RSTP Funds Transfer:

As with the Appropriations Act CMAQ fund transfer above, to avoid deallocation under the Appropriations Act, VDOT just learned we need to find a home for \$137,521 of previous RSTP, so Larry Hagin at VDOT suggests we advance the funding on UPC 101288 (Lakeview Ave. Minor Widening) due to its status of having been awarded by adding the \$137,521 and then reducing the FY25 allocation by the same amount.

The City, VDOT, MPO staff, and TAC support the above transfers.

Action requested: Policy Committee approve the transfers by resolution.

INFORMATION ITEMS:

10.FY24-29 STBG (RSTP) and CMAQ Process

Information

In March, VDOT Richmond District received the FY24-29 STBG and CMAQ allocations and the annual total allocations are slightly higher than what was used in the Draft that the MPO approved in March and the Draft FY24-29 SYIP reflects this. Also, we now have Carbon Reduction Program Funds.

Therefore, we are moving forward with the process to score and add new projects and finalize the tables, Final allocations by the MPO in May.

11.DRPT Report

Information

12.VDOT Report

Information

13.Upcoming

Information

May 11:

- Approve the *SE Data (2017-2050)*
- Approve *Draft FY24 UPWP* for public review
- Approve the *FFY 2024-27 MTIP and Conformity Assessment Report*
- Approve the FINAL FY24-29 STBG/CMAQ allocations.

June 22:

- Policy Committee approve the *FY24 UPWP*

14. Other Business

15. Next meeting

Thursday, May 11, 2023, 4:30 p.m. at the PAT Multimodal Center

16. Adjournment

ATTACHMENT 1
TRI-CITIES AREA METROPOLITAN PLANNING ORGANIZATION
POLICY COMMITTEE
DRAFT Meeting Minutes

Petersburg Multi-Modal Station
100 West Washington Street
Petersburg, Virginia
March 9, 2023
4:30 PM

Meeting Type: ☐ Annual
X Regular
☐ Special (Called)

Microsoft Teams Link:

Voting and Non-Voting Members Present:

Chesterfield County	Kevin Carroll
City of Colonial Heights	John Wood
Dinwiddie County	William Chavis
City of Petersburg	Samuel Parham, Vice Chair
Prince George County	T.J. Webb
For the Secretary of Transportation	Dale Totten, P.E.
Petersburg Area Transit	Charles Koonce, Jr.
Crater Planning District Commission	Jay Ruffa (Alt.)

Members Absent:

City of Hopewell	John Partin, Chair
FHWA	Steven Minor (non-voting)
FTA	Chelsea Bayta (non-voting)

Others Present:

Crater Planning District Commission	Ron Svejkovsky, MPO Secretary
VDOT	Nicole Mueller (via online)
DRPT	Daniel Wagner (via online)
Chesterfield County	Barb Smith
FOLAR	Wendy Austin
PlanRVA	Ken Lantz

1. Call to Order/Certification of Quorum

The meeting was called to order by Vice Chair Parham at 4:31 p.m. A quorum was present.

2. Welcome

Vice Chair Parham welcomed members and guests.

3. Chair's Report

There was nothing to report.

4. Public Comment Period

There were no public comments.

ADMINISTRATIVE ITEMS:

5. Approval of Agenda

Approved

Mr. Wood moved, and Mr. Chavis seconded the motion to approve the agenda (including putting the SMART SCALE letter request by FOLAR as part of Agenda Item 14 (Other Business)). The motion was approved unanimously.

6. Approval of minutes from February 23, 2022 meeting – Att. 1

Approved

Mr. Wood, and Mr. Koonce seconded the motion to approve the minutes of the February 23, 2023, Policy Committee meeting. The motion was approved unanimously.

ACTION ITEMS:

7. FFY 2024-2027 MTIP Development - Attachment 2

Approved

Mr. Svejksky noted that due to its file size, the *Draft FFY 2024-27 MTIP* is posted on the TCAMPO website:

https://craterpdc.org/DocumentLibrary/Transportation/Documents/022323_Draft_MTIP.pdf

Mr. Svejksky added that the document (considered as Attachment 2) includes the TIP Project Table and Table C (from VDOT), and the Transit Projects and Table D (with PAT and DRPT). The Draft MTIP also includes the TIP Groupings List (Appendix A), the Draft Self-Certification (Appendix G), and the Performance Measures with actual results where possible (Appendix H).

Mr. Svejksky noted that TAC recommends Policy Committee approval of the Draft document for public review (March 20 – April 19).

Mr. Wood moved, and Mr. Chavis seconded the motion to send the Draft FFY 2024-27 MTIP out for public review (March 20-April 19). The motion was approved unanimously.

8. Carryover/ Deprogram FY23 PL Funds to FY24- Att 3 Approved by resolution

Mr. Svejksky noted that normally, unexpended PL funds from one Fiscal Year (FY) would be carried over to two FYs later (i.e., unexpended funds from FY23 will be available as carryover for FY25).

Mr. Svejksky added that if an MPO expects to not fully expend UPWP funds in its current FY, MPOs may request to VDOT a direct carryover/deprogramming of a portion of its FY23 funds from its current FY to the next FY UPWP (ex: FY23 to FY24). MPOs are required to make their requests to VDOT by March 31, 2023.

Mr. Svejksky continued that based on the PL expenditures so far and the expected expenditures in the rest of FY23, MPO staff requested (and TAC recommended), that \$150,000 of direct carryover from FY23 to FY24 (\$120,000 Federal PL, \$15,000 State match, \$15,000 Local match) be requested.

Mr. Svejksky noted that as requested by VDOT and DRPT at the TAC meeting, the TAC recommended that the Policy Committee also approve amending the FY23 UPWP to add language in Task 3 (Long- and Short-Range Planning) that consultant services will be used for Task 3 and will be funded with PL, RSTP, and Section 5303 funds (added to the resolution, Attachment 3).

Mr. Carroll moved, and Mr. Koonce seconded the motion to 1) Approve the MPO's \$150,000 carryover/deprogramming request of FY23 PL funds to FY24; 2) amend the FY23 UPWP to add language in Task 3 (Long- and Short-Range Planning) that consultant services will be used for Task 3 and will be funded with PL, RSTP, and Section 5303 funds by resolution. The motion was approved unanimously.

9. FY24-29 STBG (RSTP) and CMAQ Process - Attachment 4 Approved

Mr. Svejksky noted that MPO, VDOT, and each locality staffs have been working together regarding the existing projects and new project applications. In early January, applicants had review meetings with VDOT and MPO staff. The Berkley Group completed the GIS-based STBG scoring tool developed by the GAP consultant in the past few days.

Mr. Svejkovsky added that Attachment 4 includes the Draft FY24-29 STBG/RSTP and CMAQ allocation tables with the existing projects updated as much as possible. We hope to bring the results and MPO staff and TAC recommendations for the new project applications in April and MPO approval of the Final allocations in April or May. TAC recommends Policy Committee approval.

Mr. Webb moved, and Mr. Koonce seconded the motion to approve the Draft STBG/RSTP and CMAQ allocations for the Draft FY24-29 SYIP. The motion was approved unanimously.

INFORMATION ITEMS:

10. 2020 Census; Funds Division for the Richmond Urbanized Area Information

Mr. Svejkovsky informed the Policy Committee that the U.S. Census Bureau recently released the population of the Richmond Urbanized Area by census block. This population data will be used for dividing the STBG, CMAQ, TAP, PL, and Section 5303 funds for the Richmond Urbanized Area (currently 86.5% of the 2010 Urbanized Area population is in RRTPO, and 13.5% is in TCAMPO). RRTPO and TCAMPO staffs are working on the new division of these funds and will bring the new division (based on the 2020 Census) to the TAC and Policy Committee soon.

11. DRPT Report Information

Mr. Wagner gave the DRPT monthly report (attached to the minutes)

12. VDOT Report Information

Mr. Totten gave the VDOT monthly report (attached to the minutes)

13. Upcoming Information

Mr. Svejkovsky briefed the members about these upcoming meetings and action items:

April 13:

- Approve new STBG/CMAQ projects and allocations
- Approve the SE Data (2017-2050) by locality and TAZ
- Approve *Draft FY24 UPWP* for public review

May 11:

- Approve the *FFY 2024-27 MTIP, Conformity Assessment Report*, and Self-Certification
- Approve the FINAL FY24-29 STBG/CMAQ allocations (if not done in April).

June 22:

- Approve the FY24 UPWP

14. Other Business

Wendy Austin requested that the MPO and PDC send a letter to CTB member Carlos Brown asking him to fund the Tri-Cities Area SMART SCALE projects that are in the Staff Recommended Scenario.

Mr. Wood moved, and Mr. Webb seconded the motion that the letter be sent and signed by the Vice Chair. The motion was approved unanimously.

15. Next meeting

The next Policy Committee meeting will be held on Thursday, April 13, 2023, 4:30 p.m. at the PAT Multimodal Center.

16. Adjournment

Mr. Chavis moved, and Mr. Wood seconded the motion to adjourn. The motion was approved unanimously, and the meeting was adjourned at 4:56 p.m.

DRPT Agency Update – March 2023

FY24 Section 5303 Applications Open March 1st

FY24 5303 applications will be available March 1, 2023 on OLGA. Allocations were sent out to MPOs February 23rd. FY24 5303 allocations are due May 1, 2023. If you have any questions, feel free to reach out to your DRPT Transit Planner.

Federal Discretionary Grant Writing Workshop

On February 15, 2023, DRPT hosted a virtual Grant Writing Workshop to give an overview of the FTA discretionary application and evaluation process for the FFY23 [Buses and Bus Facilities \[FTA 5339\(b\)\]](#) and [Low or No Emission Vehicle \[FTA 5339\(c\)\]](#) programs. The workshop provided an overview of the application and evaluation processes and included tips for how to strengthen your agency's application. A recording of the webinar and a copy of the presentation can be found at the links below:

- [Grant Writing Workshop Webinar Recording](#)
- [Grant Writing Workshop Webinar Presentation](#)

We would also like to remind you that DRPT is available to provide Letters of Support and varying levels of technical assistance depending on your agency's needs. If your agency is interested in applying for either of these grant programs and would like assistance, please complete this [Google Form](#) or reach out directly to DRPT staff.

The deadline to request Technical Assistance is March 10, 2023.

Requests for Letters of Support will be accepted through April 12, 2023.

If you have any questions, please contact [Grant Sparks](#), Director of Transit Planning at DRPT.

CTB – February 2023 Meeting Update

- [Workshop Presentations](#)
 - SMART SCALE Program Review
 - Urban and County Street Maintenance Payments
 - VTrans Update
- [Action Meeting](#)
 - Approved Rail Industrial Access – Ashton Creek Industrial Park LLC in Chesterfield County
 - Approved Revenue Sharing Statewide Deallocation of Project Funds
 - Approved Payments to Cities and Certain Towns for Maintenance to Certain Roads and Streets- Third and Fourth Quarters of FY 2023 (Colonial Heights, Hopewell, Petersburg)
 - Approved the FY23-28 Six-Year Improvement Program Transfers for December 10, 2022 through January 10, 2023 ([SYIP Allocation Transfer Threshold Report](#))
 - Approved the Addition of Projects to the Revised Six-Year Improvement Program for FY23-28 ([Amendments to the Revised FY23-28 SYIP](#))

[CTB – Next Meeting](#) (VDOT Auditorium)

- Workshop Meeting on March 28 at 10:00 am
- Action Meeting on March 29 at 9:00 am

[SMART SCALE – Round 5 \(FY22 – FY27 SYIP\)](#) - information previously provided in February (no change)

- Project [Scorecards and Staff Recommended Funding Scenario](#) have been presented to the CTB and the public in January 2023
- CTB to develop potential revisions to staff recommended funding scenario between February and April
- Final approval of applications recommended for funding by the CTB is expected prior to July 1, 2023

[Six-Year Improvement Program \(SYIP\) Development](#)

- The development of the FY24-29 Six-Year Improvement Program will begin this spring and is anticipated to include in-person public meetings
- VDOT will provide information as the SYIP schedule is developed

[The Fall Line](#) - information previously provided in February (no change)

- VDOT Design-Build Packages
 - DB#1
 - [Advertisement was on December 13, 2022 as scheduled](#)
 - Construction is anticipated to begin mid-year calendar year 2023
 - DB#2
 - CTB Location Approval for the Fall Line Trail Design-Build #2 was approved in December 2022
 - Advertisement is anticipated for late 2023

Upcoming Public Hearings/Citizen Information Meetings

- There are currently no Public Hearings or Willingness to Hold Public Hearings within the Tri-Cities study area.

ATTACHMENT 2

SELF-CERTIFICATION QUESTIONS

ORGANIZATION AND STRUCTURE

1. Is the TCAMPO properly designated by agreement between the Governor and 75% of the urbanized area, including the central city, and in accordance in procedures set forth in state and local law?
 - *Yes, by a continuing agreement in 1974 between the Commonwealth of Virginia Department of Highways and the Crater Planning District Commission. In 1979, the Secretary of Transportation designated the Tri-Cities Area policy Committee as the Metropolitan Planning Area (MPO) for the Tri-Cities Area.*
2. Does the TCAMPO Policy Committee include elected officials, providers of major modes of transportation, and appropriate state officials?
 - *Yes, 6 locality-elected officials, 1 transit provider, and 2 agencies*
3. Is training about the transportation planning process provided for the TCAMPO Policy Committee and TAC?
 - *Informal training is provided by the MPO Director.*
4. Does the TCAMPO boundary encompass the existing urbanized area and the contiguous area expected to become urbanized within the 20-year forecast period?
 - *The TCAMPO boundary encompasses existing urbanized area plus contiguous area expected to become urbanized within the 20-year forecast period. Portions of the MPO boundary were formally established by agreement between RRTPO and TCAMPO in 2014.*

TRANSPORTATION PLANNING AND PROGRAMMING PROCESS

1. Is the transportation planning process continuous, cooperative, and comprehensive?
 - *Yes, the UPWP, LRTP, and MTIP show the programs, plan, and projects that demonstrate the MPO's planning process is continuous, cooperative, and comprehensive.*
2. Is there a currently adopted Unified Planning Work Program (UPWP)? Yes, [FY23 UPWP](#)
3. Are tasks and products clearly outlined?
 - *Yes, see FY23 UPWP*
4. Does the UPWP include sufficient detail of who will perform the work, the schedule for completing work, the resulting products, proposed funding, and a summary of the total amounts of federal and matching funds?
 - *Yes, see FY23 UPWP*
5. Is the work identified in the UPWP completed in a timely fashion?
 - *FY22 tasks were completed in a timely fashion (ex: Plan2045)*
3. Is there a valid Long Range Transportation Plan (LRTP)? Yes, [Plan2045](#)
 - a. Does the LRTP have at least a 20-year horizon at the time of adoption? Yes
 - b. Does it address the ten planning factors? Yes

- c. Does it cover all modes applicable to the area? *Yes*
 - d. Does the LRTP specify the TCAMPO's project selection methodology? *Yes*
 - e. Is it financially constrained? *Yes*
 - f. Does it include funding for the maintenance and operation of the system? *Yes*
 - g. Is it updated/reevaluated in a timely fashion (at least every four or five years)? *Yes, these plans are updated every 5 years*
 - h. Does the area have a process for including environmental mitigation discussions in the planning process? *Yes*
4. Is there a valid Transportation Improvement Program (TIP)? *Yes, [FFY 2021-24 MTIP](#)*
- a. Is it consistent with the LRTP? *Yes*
 - b. Is it fiscally constrained? *Yes*
 - c. Is it developed cooperatively with the state and local transit operators? *Yes*
 - d. Is it updated at least every four years and adopted by the TCAMPO and submitted to the Governor? *Yes*
5. Does the area have a valid Congestion Management Process? *Yes, [link](#)*
- a. Is it consistent with the LRTP? *Yes*
 - b. Is it used for the development of the TIP? *Yes*
 - c. Is it monitored and reevaluated to meet the needs of the area? *Yes*
6. Does the area have a process for including environmental, state, other transportation, historical, local land use and economic development agencies in the planning process? *Yes*

TITLE VI AND RELATED AUTHORITIES

1. Does the planning process meet the following requirements of federal law? *Yes, [link](#)*
- a. Title VI: Are there procedures in place to address complaints related to Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 and related statutes and do they comply with federal regulation? *Yes, [link](#)*
 - b. American Disabilities Act (ADA): Are there procedures in place to address ADA complaints of non-compliance and do they comply with federal regulation? *Yes, [Page 66 of FFY 2021-24 MTIP \(Appendix F\)](#)*
 - c. Disadvantaged Business Enterprises (DBE): Does the TCAMPO have a DBE policy statement that expresses commitment to the DBE program? *Yes, [Page 67 of FFY 2021-24 MTIP \(Appendix F\)](#)*
2. Environmental Justice: Has the TCAMPO identified low-income and minority populations within the planning area and considered the effects in the planning process? *Yes, is identified and discussed in [Plan2045](#) and its [Technical Appendices](#)*

PUBLIC PARTICIPATION PLAN

1. Does the area have an adopted Public Participation Plan (PPP)? *Yes, adopted in 2016, [link](#)*
- a. Did the public participate in the development of the PPP? *Yes*

- b. Was the PPP made available for public review for at least 45-days prior to adoption? *Yes*
 - c. Is adequate notice provided for public meetings? *Yes*
 - d. What sources does TCAMPO use to educate and inform the public about plans, programs, and activities (i.e. website, press releases, newspapers, mailers, etc.)? *Website, TCAMPO Facebook page, Facebook Groups, Instagram*
 - e. Are meetings held at convenient times and at accessible locations? *Yes, the TAC meets at the Colonial Heights Public Library and the Policy Committee meets at the PAT Multimodal Center in Petersburg. The public also can participate via Zoom.*
 - f. Is the public given an opportunity to provide oral and/or written comments on the planning process? *Yes, via comment periods, surveys, etc. throughout the planning, TIP, and LRTP processes and our public meetings*
 - g. Does TCAMPO seek out and consider the needs of those traditionally underserved by existing transportation systems, such as low-income and minority households? *Yes, we have been able to better reach them via Facebook Groups, Instagram, our website, and online survey; and are developing additional methods.*
 - h. Is the PPP periodically reviewed and updated to ensure its effectiveness? *Yes, particularly during plan development*
 - i. Are plans/program documents available in an electronic accessible format? *Yes, our plans/program document (in PDF format) are on our website*
2. Does the area participate in an interagency process designed to facilitate communication among involved agencies and make decisions on the transportation conformity process and air quality improvement matters? *Various interagency processes include PHOPs, FOLAR, Crater PDC committees, etc.*

DOCUMENTATION AND REPORTING

- 1. What supporting documentation/information is provided to the TCAMPO Board when the self-certification is approved? *This document with the links*
- 2. How is the self-certification provided to the Federal agencies? *Sent draft self-certification to federal and state agencies first for review and approval, then is sent to the TAC and Policy Committee for review and approval for public review, then after public review the self-certification is approved by the TAC and Policy Committee (signed by the MPO, VDOT, and DRPT) at time of MTIP adoption.*
- 3. Is there continuity and consistency between the self-certification and quadrennial Federal Certification? *While we try to coordinate these, VDOT and DRPT are also trying to get these better coordinated with their processes.*

TRI-CITIES AREA METROPOLITAN PLANNING ORGANIZATION CERTIFICATION OF THE TRANSPORTATION PLANNING AND PROGRAMMING PROCESS

The Tri-Cities Area Metropolitan Planning Organization, Virginia Department of Transportation, and Virginia Department of Rail and Public Transportation hereby certify that the conduct of the metropolitan planning and programming process complies with all applicable requirements as listed below, and that this process includes activities that support the development and implementation of the Long-Range Transportation Plan and associated Air Quality Conformity Determination (as applicable), the Transportation Improvement Program and associated Air Quality Conformity Determination (as applicable), and the Unified Planning Work Program.

1. 23 U.S.C. 134, 49 U.S.C. 5303, and 23 CFR part 450.336 (a);
2. Sections 174 and 176 (c) and (d) of the Clean Air Act, as amended (42 U.S.C. 7504, 7506 (c) and (d)) and 40 CFR part 93;
3. Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, as amended (42 U.S.C. 2000d-1) and 49 CFR part 21;
4. 49 U.S.C. 5332, prohibiting discrimination on the basis of race, color, creed, national origin, sex, or age in employment or business opportunity;
5. Section 1101(b) of the FAST Act (Pub. L. 114-357 and 49 CFR part 26 regarding the involvement of disadvantaged business enterprises in USDOT funded projects;
6. 23 CFR part 230, regarding the implementation of an equal employment opportunity program on Federal and Federal-aid highway construction contracts;
7. The provisions of the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990 (42 U.S.C. 12101 *et seq.*) and 49 CFR parts 27, 37, and 38.
8. The Older Americans Act, as amended (42 U.S.C. 6101), prohibiting discrimination on the basis of age in programs or activities receiving Federal financial assistance.
9. Section 324 of title 23 U.S.C. regarding the prohibition of discrimination based on gender; and
10. Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973 (29 U.S.C. 794) and 49 CFR part 27 regarding discrimination against individuals with disabilities.

**Tri-Cities Area Metropolitan
Planning Organization**

Signature

Ronald Svejkovsky

Printed Name

TCAMPO Director

Title

Date

**Virginia Department of
Transportation**

Signature

Dale Totten

Printed Name

Richmond District Engineer

Title

Date

**Virginia Department of
Rail & Public Transportation**

Signature

Grant Sparks

Printed Name

Director of Transit Planning

Title

Date

ATTACHMENT 3

Tri-Cities Metropolitan Planning Organization Resolution Approving Revision of the FFY 2021-2024 Metropolitan Transportation Improvement Program to Add Funds for Maintenance Groups

WHEREAS, the transportation priorities of the Tri-Cities Area MPO are consistent with those of the Commonwealth of Virginia;

WHEREAS, it is the intent of the Tri-Cities Area MPO for the Metropolitan Transportation Improvement Program to be consistent with the State Transportation Improvement Program;

WHEREAS, it is the intent of the Tri-Cities Area MPO for the Metropolitan Transportation Improvement Program to be consistent with the Commonwealth of Virginia's Six Year Improvement Program;

WHEREAS, these MTIP Groupings are included in the 2040 Metropolitan Transportation Plan (MTP):

- Maintenance : Preventive Maintenance for Bridges
- Maintenance : Preventive Maintenance and System Preservation
- Maintenance : Traffic and Safety Operations

WHEREAS, the Virginia Department of Transportation has requested an amendment to the transportation project to ensure prompt project delivery; and,

WHEREAS, the projects to be added are exempt from conformity under the provisions of 40 CFR Part 93.126;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED that the Tri-Cities Area Metropolitan Planning Organization's FFY 2021-2024 Metropolitan Transportation Improvement Program be amended to reflect the projects' design, concept, scope, and schedule.

Upon a motion by _____ () with a second by _____ ()
and carried by a voice vote a motion was adopted on April 13, 2023, with ____
members voting Aye, ____members voting Nay, and ____ board members Abstaining.

The Honorable John Partin, Chair,
Tri-Cities Area Metropolitan Planning Organization

April 13, 2023
Date

Ronald D. Svejksky, Secretary
Tri-Cities Area Metropolitan Planning Organization

Tri-Cities MPO
Project Groupings

GROUPING		Maintenance : Preventive Maintenance for Bridges				
PROGRAM NOTE		Funding identified to be obligated districtwide as projects are identified.				
ROUTE/STREET					TOTAL COST	\$114,702,052
	FUND SOURCE	MATCH	FY21	FY22	FY23	FY24
CN	Federal - NHFP	\$0	\$6,624,541	\$0	\$751,472	\$0
	Federal - NHS/NHPP	\$0	\$7,905,053	\$23,974,224	\$2,102,357	\$14,126,050
	Federal - STP/STBG	\$0	\$21,822,250	\$28,268,102	\$6,926,117	\$2,201,886
CN TOTAL		\$0	\$36,351,844	\$52,242,326	\$9,779,946	\$16,327,936
MPO Note		TIP AMD - add \$751,472 (NHFP), \$2,102,357 (NHPP) & \$6,926,117 (STP/STBG) FFY2				

Federal funds include the use of soft match supported by approved toll credits unless otherwise indicated and/or matching funds are shown in the \"Match\" column. Non-federal fund sources are as noted in the \"Program Note\" and show

Tri-Cities MPO

Project Groupings

GROUPING		Maintenance : Preventive Maintenance and System Preservation				
PROGRAM NOTE		Funding identified to be obligated districtwide as projects are identified.				
ROUTE/STREET					TOTAL COST	\$161,517,367
	FUND SOURCE	MATCH	FY21	FY22	FY23	FY24
CN	Federal - NHFP	\$0	\$2,500,000	\$2,500,000	\$115,430	\$0
	Federal - NHS/NHPP	\$0	\$8,194,023	\$24,582,069	\$2,258,586	\$0
	Federal - STP/STBG	\$0	\$21,974,931	\$65,038,149	\$31,116,665	\$3,237,514
CN TOTAL		\$0	\$32,668,954	\$92,120,218	\$33,490,681	\$3,237,514
MPO Note		TIP AMD - add \$115,430 (NHFP), \$2,258,586 (NHPP) & \$31,116,665 (STP/STBG) FFY23				

Federal funds include the use of soft match supported by approved toll credits unless otherwise indicated and/or matching funds are shown in the \"Match\" column. Non-federal fund sources are as noted in the \"Program Note\" and show

Tri-Cities MPO
Project Groupings

GROUPING		Maintenance : Traffic and Safety Operations				
PROGRAM NOTE		Funding identified to be obligated districtwide as projects are identified.				
ROUTE/STREET					TOTAL COST	\$24,638,456
	FUND SOURCE	MATCH	FY21	FY22	FY23	FY24
CN	Federal - STP/STBG	\$0	\$4,155,321	\$5,983,289	\$9,156,378	\$5,343,468
MPO Note		TIP AMD - add an addit'l \$6,405,707 (STP/STBG) FFY23				

Federal funds include the use of soft match supported by approved toll credits unless otherwise indicated and/or matching funds are shown in the \"Match\" column. Non-federal fund sources are as noted in the \"Program Note\" and show

ATTACHMENT 4

CARBON REDUCTION PROGRAM FUNDS

(FY22 and FY23 figures based on revised SYIP update budgets; FY24-29 figures based on FY24 Draft SYIP)

SYFP	SYIP Fund Source	Fund Code	FY22R SYIP	FY23R SYIP	FY Draft 2024	Draft 2025	Draft 2026	Draft 2027	Draft 2028	Draft 2029
Flexible	Carbon Reduction Flexible Allocation-Federal (CFR100)	CFR100	8,920,019	9,098,419	9,280,387	9,465,995	9,655,315	9,848,422	10,045,390	10,246,298
Flexible Soft Match	Carbon Reduction Flexible Allocation - Soft Match (CFR101)	CFR101	2,230,005	2,274,605	2,320,097	2,366,499	2,413,829	2,462,105	2,511,348	2,561,575
UNDER 5,000	Carbon Reduction Program - <5k Allocation-Federal (CFR300)	CFR300	2,981,835	4,333,136	4,507,617	4,597,769	4,689,724	4,783,518	4,879,189	4,976,773
Under 5,000 Soft Match	Carbon Reduction <5k Allocation-Soft Match (CFR301)	CFR301	745,459	1,083,284	1,126,904	1,149,442	1,172,431	1,195,880	1,219,797	1,244,193
5,000-50,000	Carbon Reduction 5k to 50k Allocation-Federal (CFR400)	CFR400	544,994	771,204	795,462	811,372	827,599	844,151	861,034	878,255
5,000-50,000 Soft Match	Carbon Reduction 5k to 50k Allocation-Soft Match (CFR401)	CFR401	136,249	192,801	198,866	202,843	206,900	211,038	215,259	219,564
50,000-200,000	Carbon Reduction 50k to 200k Allocation-Federal (CFR500)	CFR500	1,111,581	1,572,962	1,590,923	1,622,742	1,655,197	1,688,301	1,722,067	1,756,509
50,000-200,000 Soft Match	Carbon Reduction 50k to 200k Allocation-Soft Match (CFR501)	CFR501	277,895	393,240	397,731	405,685	413,799	422,075	430,517	439,127
Richmond	Carbon Richmond Allocation-Federal (CFRM50)	CFRM50	2,032,970	1,741,919	1,762,585	1,797,837	1,833,794	1,870,470	1,907,879	1,946,037
Richmond Soft Match	Carbon Richmond Allocation-Soft Match (CFRM51)	CFRM51	508,242	435,480	440,646	449,459	458,448	467,617	476,970	486,509
Tri-Cities	Carbon Tri-Cities Allocation-Federal (CFRM20)	CFRM20	317,284	271,860	275,085	280,586	286,198	291,922	297,761	303,716
Tri-Cities Soft Match	Carbon Tri-Cities Allocation-Soft Match (CFRM21)	CFRM21	79,321	67,965	68,771	70,147	71,550	72,981	74,440	75,929
Hampton Roads	Carbon Hampton Roads Allocation-Federal (CFRM30)	CFRM30	3,548,381	3,040,377	3,076,446	3,137,975	3,200,734	3,264,749	3,330,044	3,396,645
Hampton Roads Soft Match	Carbon Hampton Roads Allocation-Soft Match (CFRM31)	CFRM31	887,095	760,094	769,112	784,494	800,184	816,187	832,511	849,161
Roanoke	Carbon Roanoke Allocation-Federal (CFRM40)	CFRM40	517,866	443,726	448,990	457,970	467,129	476,471	486,001	495,721
Roanoke Soft Match	Carbon Roanoke Allocation-Soft Match (CFRM41)	CFRM41	129,466	110,931	112,247	114,492	116,782	119,118	121,500	123,930
Washington DC COG	Carbon Northern Virginia Allocation-Federal (CFRM10)	CFRM10	5,340,004	4,575,502	4,629,783	4,722,378	4,816,826	4,913,163	5,011,426	5,111,655
Washington DC COG Soft Match	Carbon Northern Virginia Allocation-Soft Match (CFRM11)	CFRM11	1,335,001	1,143,875	1,157,446	1,180,595	1,204,207	1,228,291	1,252,857	1,277,914
FAMPO	Carbon Fredericksburg Allocation-Federal (CFRMA0)	CFRMA0	170,836	146,378	148,114	151,077	154,098	157,180	160,324	163,530
FAMPO Soft Match	Carbon Fredericksburg Allocation-Soft Match (CFRMA1)	CFRMA1	42,709	36,595	37,029	37,769	38,525	39,295	40,081	40,883
			31,857,212	32,494,353	33,144,241	33,807,126	34,483,269	35,172,934	35,876,395	36,593,924

UPC 106188, 100501, 101288 Proposed Transfers April 2023

Proposed Transfer #1	
Donor Project (From):	UPC T27908 – CARBON REDUCTION PROGRAM BALANCE ENTRY TRI-CITIES
Impact to Donor:	Impact – none; donor project is a balance entry for the MPO
Recipient Project (To):	UPC 106188 – NORTH ES - SIDEWALKS
Impact to Recipient:	Impact – This transfer will help fully fund a deficit, allowing the locality to award the project.
Amount:	FY22 CRP Funds = \$300,106 total
Comments:	Bids were recently received and resulted in an increase in the estimate.
Recommendation:	This transfer is supported by Tri-Cities MPO staff, the locality (Colonial Heights), and VDOT Richmond District Programming/Planning staff.

Proposed Transfer #2	
Donor Project (From):	UPC 70722 – TRI-CITIES CMAQ BALANCE ENTRY
Impact to Donor:	Impact – none; donor project is a balance entry for the MPO. Transfer needed to resolve Appropriations Act sunset of CMAQ funding.
Recipient Project (To):	UPC 100501 – Route 1 and Westover Avenue intersection
Impact to Recipient:	Impact – This transfer will help fully fund a deficit, allowing the locality to award the project.
Amount:	Previous CMAQ Funds = \$232,892 (Federal) Requires Local Match: \$39,313
Comments:	Bids were recently received and resulted in an increase in the estimate.
Recommendation:	This transfer is supported by Tri-Cities MPO staff, the locality (Colonial Heights), and VDOT Richmond District Programming/Planning staff.

Proposed Transfer #3	
Donor Project (From):	UPC 70725 – TRI-CITIES RSTP BALANCE ENTRY
Impact to Donor:	Impact – none; donor project is a balance entry for the MPO. Transfer needed to resolve Appropriations Act sunset of RSTP funding.
Recipient Project (To):	UPC 101288 – LAKEVIEW AVE - MINOR WIDENING
Impact to Recipient:	Impact – None. Previous funds added to project and future funds reduced by the same amount.
Amount:	Previous RSTP Funds = \$137,521 total
Comments:	Transfer needed to resolve Appropriations Act sunset of RSTP funding.
Recommendation:	This transfer is supported by Tri-Cities MPO staff, the locality (Colonial Heights), and VDOT Richmond District Programming/Planning staff.

Tri-Cities Area Metropolitan Planning Organization
Resolution Approving Transfers for UPC 106188, 100501, and 101288

WHEREAS, the transportation priorities of the Tri-Cities Area MPO are consistent with those of the Commonwealth of Virginia;

WHEREAS, it is the intent of the Tri-Cities Area MPO to be consistent with the Commonwealth of Virginia's Six Year Improvement Program;

WHEREAS, transfers are needed in order to fully fund UPC 106188, UPC 100501, and 101288 allowing the City of Colonial Heights to award/administer the projects;

WHEREAS, the MPO wishes to make these transfers:

- Transfer \$300,106 of FY22 CRP funds from UPC T27908 (Carbon reduction Program Balance Entry Tri-Cities) to UPC 106188 (North ES – Sidewalks);
- Transfer \$252,892 of Previous CMAQ funds from UPC 70722 (Tri-Cities CMAQ Balance Entry) to UPC 100501 (Route 1 and Westover Ave Intersection);
- Transfer \$137,521 of Previous RSTP funds from UPC 70725 (Tri-Cities RSTP Balance Entry) to UPC 101288 (Lakeview Ave - Minor Widening)

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED that the Tri-Cities Area Metropolitan Planning Organization's CRP, CMAQ, and RSTP allocations for the FY23-28 SYIP be amended to revise the allocations for these programs/projects.

Upon a motion by _____ (_____) with a second by _____ (_____) and carried by a voice vote the motion was adopted on April 13, 2023 with ____ voting aye, ____ voting nay, and ____ abstaining.

The Honorable John Partin, Chair,
Tri-Cities Area Metropolitan Planning Organization

April 13, 2023

Ronald D. Svejksky
Secretary, Tri-Cities Area Metropolitan Planning
Organization